UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,130	06/24/2003	Eric W. Liimatta	SU-7275	9877
7982 EDGAR SPIEL	7590 03/28/200 .MAN	7	EXAMINER	
•	CORPORATION		CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
451 FLORIDA BLVD. BATON ROUGE, LA 70801		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	03/28/2007	PAF	PER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			$\sim$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/603,130	LIIMATTA, ERIC W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arthur L. Corbin	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tte, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	January 2007.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	S
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,3,4,8,10,14-19,23-31,33,34 and 3	<u>8-45</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,8,10,14-19,23-31,33,34,38-45</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d'Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	pplication No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	` ' ' ' '	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date  nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •	

Application/Control Number: 10/603,130

Art Unit: 1761

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 16, 2007 has been entered.

Page 2

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 10, 14-19, 23-31, 33, 34 and 38-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howarth (WO 03/001931) in view of Hilgren et al and Yang et al as set forth in paragraph no. 2, Paper No. 110906 and in paragraph no. 3, Paper No. 051506. Further, finding the optimum time for treating the carcass exterior (claim 45) would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed January 16, 2007 and the accompanying 1.132

  Liimatta declaration have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's comments regarding the alleged deficiencies of Hilgren et al are not convincing since Hilgren et al is merely relied upon for the concept of using an inside-outside washing

Application/Control Number: 10/603,130

Art Unit: 1761

procedure to treat poultry carcasses. Moreover, except for claim 45, applicant does not claim any specific contact times for the microbiocidal treatment, and Howarth's 1.5 hour contact time mentioned by applicant is merely preferred and not critical. Thus, applicant's remarks do not patentably distinguish over Howarth.

The Liimatta declaration is not commensurate in scope with applicant's claims, which fail to recite the specific temperatures and the 30 second contact time used in the tests performed in said declaration. Thus, said declaration does not offer a comparison with the closest prior art.

2. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/603,130

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthur L Corbin
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1761